

Bridging Physics and Metaphysics: The $M = L \times v$ Framework and Unsolved Physics Problems

Introduction: Light, Vibration, Gravity as a Unified Framework

The metaphysical framework from *Light, Vibration, Gravity: A Blueprint for Unified Metaphysical Coherence* postulates that **Light**, **Vibration**, and **Gravity** form a triadic foundation of reality. In this view, **Light** represents the unified field of infinite potential (analogous to the zero-point field or pure consciousness)[1][2], **Vibration** is “consciousness in motion” – the primordial creative movement that differentiates the field into energy and matter[3][4], and **Gravity** is the organizing intelligence that gives structure and coherence to those vibrations[5][6]. All three arise together from an original Oneness, serving as complementary aspects (Light as the still source, Vibration as the expansive force, Gravity as the centripetal ordering force)[7][8]. A key concept from the document is the symbolic “equation” $M = L \times v$, meaning **Matter = Light \times Vibration**. In simple terms, matter is essentially “light slowed down” by pattern[9] – the infinite Light field vibrating in complex standing waves yields particles, while Gravity’s role is to “slow down” and structure that light-energy into mass[9]. This holistic model invites us to rethink modern physics problems by positing a deeper unity behind quantum mechanics and general relativity. Below, we explore how this metaphysical perspective could shed new light on five major areas: (1) the nature of mass, energy, and spacetime, (2) unifying gravity with quantum mechanics, (3) gaps in the Standard Model (hierarchy problem, dark matter, vacuum energy), (4) puzzling quantum phenomena like wave-particle duality and entanglement, and (5) the nature of the vacuum and zero-point energy. We will compare these ideas with frontier scientific theories (string theory, loop quantum gravity, pilot-wave models, etc.), emphasizing where metaphysical integration suggests testable directions or at least offers philosophical clarity.

1. Reframing Mass, Energy, and Spacetime Through $M = L \times v$

In mainstream physics, Einstein’s famous equation $E = mc^2$ already blurs the line between matter and energy, indicating they are interchangeable facets of one reality. The $M = L \times v$ metaphor goes a step further by suggesting that **mass** is not a fundamental substance but a state of the underlying field shaped by vibration and pattern. In this view, what we experience as “matter” is really **embodied vibration** – energy oscillating in stable, standing wave patterns[10][11]. The metaphysical framework asserts that those stable patterns are molded by an informational or intelligent principle (gravity) that “coheres” the vibrations[11][12]. For example, an atom can be pictured as a standing wave of energy (the electron’s wavefunction) held in orbit by an attractive organizing force (electromagnetism playing the role analogous to gravity in the atom)[13][14]. Similarly, planets coalesce from vibrating dust and gas under gravitational pull. In each case **vibration provides the substance and movement, while gravity provides form and cohesion**[14]. This resonates with the idea that **matter = vibration shaped by gravitational intelligence**[15]. Indeed, the document

explicitly summarizes: “every physical object is a symphony of vibrations orchestrated into a coherent form by an invisible score (field) – that score is gravity, the universal mind”[15].

Such a reframing casts **mass** as an emergent property of light (the field of potential) being structured. It aligns with descriptions like “matter is *light slowed down* by pattern”[9]. Here, “Light” in the metaphysical sense is akin to a high-frequency field of all possibilities, which is normally “motionless” in its infinite simultaneity[16]. When that field’s vibrations are **slowed or bounded** by gravity’s organizing influence, energy condenses into particulate matter[9][17]. This poetic description mirrors physics concepts: for instance, as the hot, high-energy early universe cooled (vibrational frequency dropped), energy “crystallized” into particles of matter[18]. We might draw analogies to how **photons** (light quanta) – normally massless – can form massive bound states in certain conditions, or how a coherent light field in a cavity gains an effective mass. From this vantage, **energy** corresponds to free, radiating vibration (uncohered light), whereas **mass** is light-vibration held in patterned coherence. Spacetime itself could be reinterpreted as a product of this dance: the **fabric of spacetime is shaped by the presence of mass-energy**, which in turn is an expression of underlying light and vibration. Notably, general relativity already tells us that what we call gravity is the geometry of spacetime curved by mass-energy. The metaphysical twist is to suggest that spacetime geometry (gravity) is the **information or memory** of how light/vibration is organized[8][19]. In other words, spacetime might be an emergent “construct” that records the interplay between the infinite field (Light) and dynamic patterns (vibrations) – an idea not unlike modern theories where spacetime is not fundamental but emergent from deeper structures[20][21].

This reframing finds some resonance in frontier physical theories. **String theory**, for example, literally models elementary particles as different vibrational modes of fundamental strings[22]. What we perceive as an electron or quark is, in string theory, a tiny string vibrating at a specific frequency – an elegant echo of “matter = vibration.” The metaphysical framework’s Light field corresponds in a loose sense to the “arena” in which strings vibrate (some unified field permeating higher dimensions), while gravity in string theory appears naturally as one of the vibrational modes (the graviton). The suggestion that matter is light/vibration bound by pattern also brings to mind **pilot-wave (de Broglie–Bohm) theory** in quantum mechanics, where a physical wave field (the pilot wave) guides particles and gives them form. Bohm even described particles as condensations from an underlying field, with the **quantum potential** as an information-rich field guiding their motion – hinting that space and time themselves might be projections from a deeper order[23][24]. In Bohm’s words, our ordinary notions of separate particles in space-time are like “explicate” forms unfolded from a deeper **implicate order** where everything is connected and “space and time are no longer the dominant factors”[23]. This philosophical view is remarkably aligned with seeing matter and energy as secondary manifestations of an underlying unity (Light) plus structuring principle (Gravity). Even **loop quantum gravity** (LQG), which is very much a physical (not metaphysical) theory, posits that space is not continuous but made of discrete “grains” or spin networks, and that classical spacetime emerges from these quantum relationships. In metaphysical terms, one could say LQG’s spin networks are the

“vibrational patterns” and their interconnections the imprint of gravitational intelligence – again matter and space arising together from a deeper layer of information or vibration. The key takeaway is that by reframing mass and energy as *states* of an underlying field of potential (light) shaped by vibratory dynamics, we get a vision of **spacetime as an emergent, relational structure** rather than a fixed background. This addresses long-standing puzzles like why inertial mass and gravitational mass are equivalent (because both reflect the coupling of energy to the information structure of spacetime), and it sets the stage for unifying quantum physics with gravity by insisting they share common roots in Light and Vibration.

2. Toward Quantum Gravity: Uniting Gravity and Quantum Mechanics via Light and Vibration

One of the greatest unsolved problems in physics is finding a consistent **quantum theory of gravity** – a framework that unifies general relativity (our theory of gravity and spacetime on cosmic scales) with quantum mechanics (our theory of fundamental particles and forces). The metaphysical model offers a conceptual bridge by treating **gravity and quantum dynamics not as disparate phenomena, but as complementary expressions of one underlying reality**. In the Light–Vibration–Gravity triad, **Gravity** is the cosmic organizing principle (the “inward” centering force), and **Vibration** is the dynamic, differentiating principle (the “outward” creative motion)[5][6]. Crucially, **both emerge from Light**, the unified field of potential. This suggests that if we go deep enough, the quantum realm (dominated by vibrational energy and wave-like behavior) and the gravitational realm (dominated by curvature, order, and coherence) have a common origin and can be described within one coherent framework.

Modern theoretical physics has been moving in a similar direction by proposing that **space, time, and gravity might be emergent phenomena arising from more fundamental quantum relationships**. For example, research into the holographic principle and **emergent gravity** suggests that spacetime geometry (and thus gravity) can be viewed as arising from the entanglement structure of a quantum system[25]. In one striking result, scientists found evidence that “*spacetime and gravity emerge together from the entanglement structure of an underlying microscopic theory.*”[25] In Anti-de Sitter space (a model used in holographic duality), the geometry of spacetime can be thought of as encoded by quantum entanglement between degrees of freedom in a lower-dimensional theory. Similarly, Erik Verlinde’s **entropic gravity** approach views gravity not as a fundamental force but as an *entropic or informational force* – basically an emergent phenomenon arising from the statistical behavior of microscopic bits of information (related to quantum entanglement across space)[26]. This is profoundly resonant with the metaphysical idea of gravity as “*universal intelligence*” or a field of order that emerges once vibration (energy/motion) creates complexity[8][19]. The document even notes that some advanced theories “*suggest gravity arises from informational fields or quantum entanglement (which hints at consciousness involvement)*”[26]. In fact, researchers like physicist Bernard Haisch and others have speculated on gravity-as-information or gravity-as-consciousness links. One referenced idea is that “*gravity and what psychology calls consciousness are two faces of a deeper*

law”[8] – implying that the fabric of spacetime (gravity) and the fabric of mind (consciousness) might be linked at a fundamental level. While highly speculative, this aligns with the metaphysical stance that **Gravity = cosmic mind (order), and Vibration = cosmic life (motion)**, both springing from **Light = cosmic consciousness**.

From a practical physics standpoint, integrating these concepts could help address why gravity is so different from other forces. In quantum field theory, the forces like electromagnetism are mediated by particles in spacetime, but gravity *is* spacetime. The Light–Vibration framework suggests we treat spacetime and particles as two sides of the same coin: vibrations (quantum fields) **on** a dynamic informational medium (spacetime) that itself can bend and evolve. **Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG)** attempts something similar by quantizing spacetime directly – essentially inferring that the geometry itself has a discrete, quantum nature. In LQG, space is woven by spin networks and evolves via spin foams, eliminating the classical divide between “stuff” and “space” at microscopic scales. The metaphysical model conceptually supports this by implying that *at the foundational level, there are no separate “particles” and “space” – only the unified field (Light) with vibrating patterns (Vibration) and inherent order (Gravity)*. If this is true, a theory of **quantum gravity** would naturally emerge from finding the laws of that unified field.

String theory, another leading candidate for quantum gravity, inherently unifies gravity and quantum mechanics by requiring extra dimensions and postulating that the graviton (quantum of gravity) is just another vibrational mode of a string. In that sense, string theory’s message is “everything is vibration” – which is exactly the Law of Vibration the metaphysical blueprint cites (“from Spirit to matter, everything is vibration”[27][28]). The **$M = L \times v$** equation metaphorically could fit into string theory by saying: if the **string’s vibrations** (v) occur within a higher-dimensional **field of possibilities** (L , perhaps the “bulk” or some unified field), then a **particular vibrational pattern yields a particle of matter**. It’s notable that string theory automatically includes a graviton and thus a quantum gravity, but it still faces the challenge of interpretation: what is the ontological status of these strings and the extra-dimensional space they inhabit? The Light/Vibration idea would suggest that the string’s extra-dimensional space is not just a mathematical artifact but a real “field of Light” (infinite potential) and that the vibration of the string is a ripple in that field. Furthermore, string theory’s need for extra dimensions and a huge “landscape” of possible solutions might hint that what we call physical constants or laws are actually *specific patterns of the unified field*, chosen (or self-organized) by something like a cosmic principle of coherence (gravity in the metaphysical sense). While string theory currently doesn’t invoke consciousness or purpose, the metaphysical view is comfortable treating the **selection of one coherent universe out of many possibilities** as an act of cosmic self-organization – possibly linking to ideas like the anthropic principle or self-organizing universes.

To be clear, mainstream physics has not embraced “consciousness” as a player in quantum gravity. However, some fringe proposals (like Roger Penrose’s ORCH-OR model) suggest gravity could be involved in wavefunction collapse, potentially connecting quantum mechanics to conscious experience. The metaphysical framework would encourage us to at least remain open-minded that **the observer**

(consciousness) and the geometry (gravity) are interconnected at a deep level. If gravity is the organizing intelligence, and vibration the experiential/action aspect, then one might speculate that a sufficiently advanced theory of quantum gravity might incorporate an “information self-organizing” principle – which is a dry way of hinting at something like cosmic consciousness – to explain why a classical spacetime emerges at macroscopic scales at all (resolving the measurement problem perhaps). Admittedly, these are philosophical stretches. Yet, even at a purely physical level, thinking of gravity and quantum together through information has led to concrete insights: for example, the discovery that **black holes have entropy and temperature** and obey analogs of thermodynamic laws was a major clue that gravity has deep links to information theory. The holographic entropy bound, the black hole information paradox, and the idea that **“it from bit”** (John Wheeler’s phrase) – that physical things (“it”) arise from binary information (“bit”) – all point toward a unification where **information (bit) is fundamental** and spacetime-matter are emergent. “Light as infinite potential” fits nicely with Wheeler’s quantum foam or a vast sea of information, and “gravity as organizer” fits with the notion that there are laws (like maximum entropy or optimal information packing) that shape how that information manifests as geometry.

In summary, the metaphysical **Light–Vibration–Gravity** model could provide a guiding vision for unification: it implies that a successful theory of quantum gravity would show how *the same underlying field gives rise to both quantum fields/particles (via vibrations) and spacetime geometry (via organizing gravity)*. This encourages approaches like **holographic duality** (linking quantum entanglement to spatial connectivity) and **emergent spacetime** models, which indeed are at the frontier of current research. While the metaphysical framework adds the flavor of consciousness and purpose, even stripped of that it suggests **looking for unity at a deeper level than the apparent physical dualism of “matter vs space.”** This is precisely the lesson many quantum gravity researchers take to heart: spacetime might not be fundamental[29][30]. If we accept that, then building physics up from a fundamental “oneness” (call it Light or a unified field) is not mystical but arguably logical. The challenge, of course, is to make this concrete in mathematics and experiment – something still ongoing.

3. Addressing Standard Model Gaps: Hierarchy, Dark Matter, and Vacuum Energy

Modern physics’ Standard Model is remarkably successful yet leaves key mysteries unsolved. Here we consider how the $M = L \times v$ metaphysical view might approach three notorious issues: the **hierarchy problem**, the nature of **dark matter**, and the **vacuum energy (cosmological constant) problem**. These conceptual gaps might be reframed or even partially addressed by the idea that an unseen unified field (Light) and an organizing principle (Gravity as intelligence) underlie what we observe.

- **Hierarchy Problem (Why is Gravity so Weak?)** – The hierarchy problem asks why gravity is extremely weak compared to other forces, or equivalently, why the Planck scale (where gravity becomes strong) is so much higher than the electroweak scale. In numbers, the weak nuclear force is about 10^{24} times stronger than gravity at subatomic scales[31][32]. Physics has hypothesized

solutions like supersymmetry, extra dimensions, or anthropic selection, but no consensus exists[31][33]. The metaphysical framework offers a fresh perspective: if **gravity is not just a force but the underlying organizing fabric** (present even when not obvious), its “weakness” might be deceiving. Gravity might permeate everything as an ever-present coherence (the proverbial glue of the cosmos), which doesn’t always manifest as a strong force unless large masses are involved. In our metaphysical model, gravity (+) and vibration (–) are two sides of creation[5][6] – one expansive, one contractive. One could speculate that what physics sees as the *discrepancy in scales* is because we’re comparing a **primary, universal field (gravity-as-information)** to a **secondary, localized force (like the weak force)**. In other words, gravity’s effects are diluted because it operates on the substrate of reality itself and reflects global conditions (like the geometry of spacetime), whereas other forces operate through exchange particles within that geometry. Some theories with extra dimensions (e.g. Randall–Sundrum brane models) actually try to explain gravity’s weakness by letting it “spread out” in unseen dimensions, diluting its apparent strength in our 3D world. Metaphysically, one could say gravity exists on a deeper “layer” (the Light field or higher-dimensional space) and only a faint imprint appears in normal matter interactions. This might not solve the technical hierarchy problem of quantum corrections to the Higgs mass, but it provides an intuitive explanation: **gravity’s strength is of a different kind** – it shapes the stage on which other forces act, which could be why it doesn’t interfere strongly at quantum scales (until we reach Planck energy where presumably the “stage” itself breaks down). Another angle: if gravity and consciousness are related as some suggest[8], perhaps gravity’s apparent weakness is analogous to how subtle but pervasive mind can influence things without brute force – a philosophical parallel to consider in viewing gravity less as a force to be quantized like others and more as an emergent property.

- **Dark Matter (Unseen Mass and the “Gravity Template”)** – Dark matter is a major unsolved problem: astronomers observe that galaxies rotate and galaxy clusters move as if there is far more mass present than we can see in stars and gas. We infer **invisible “dark” matter** is providing extra gravity, yet all attempts to detect any new particle or substance responsible have failed so far[34][35]. Dark matter is known *only* through its gravitational effects (it bends light via gravitational lensing and holds galaxies together)[36][37]. In the metaphysical model, this is intriguingly expected – recall that gravity is associated with an **unseen template or informational aspect** of reality, even called “antimatter-like template” in the document[38]. It says “*gravity in our system is associated with antimatter or the unseen template of reality – the invisible, informational aspect (whereas matter is the visible, vibrational aspect)*”[38]. This maps uncannily well onto dark matter’s characterization: an invisible component of the universe that we detect by its gravitational organizing effect on visible matter. **Could dark matter be an expression of the universal Gravity field itself?** Perhaps regions of enhanced gravitational field (or curvature) exist without corresponding ordinary matter – essentially *gravity holding shape on its own*, or driven by some subtle form of matter that interacts primarily through gravity. Some theories in

physics, like **emergent gravity (Verlinde)**, have argued that the effects we attribute to dark matter might actually be due to a modification of gravity's behavior at large scales, arising from entanglement entropy in the de Sitter (accelerating universe) space[39]. Verlinde's model even derived an extra "dark gravity force" that could explain galaxy rotation curves without particle dark matter, by accounting for an entropy displacement caused by normal matter[39]. In metaphysical language, we could say **when enough vibrating matter clumps, it imprints a curvature or information pattern on the Light field (spacetime), and that imprint extends beyond the visible mass itself**. The "ghost" gravity left behind after removing DNA in the experiment cited in the document (where photons remained gravitationally attracted to where a DNA sample had been) is a provocative example[40][41]. Although controversial, it hints that *organized information (like DNA's structure) could leave a gravitational imprint in the field*[41][42]. Dark matter halos around galaxies could be conceived as the **informational or gravitational aura** produced by the galaxy's formation – a kind of memory of where the gravity field structured things, persisting as an unseen scaffold.



Image: Hubble Space Telescope view of galaxy cluster Abell 209. The cluster's immense gravity warps the light of more distant background galaxies, producing subtle **gravitational lensing** arcs visible as stretched streaks (especially near the bright central cluster galaxies). Astronomers map these distortions to infer the distribution of mass in the cluster, revealing a large invisible component – **dark matter** – whose gravity is bending the light[36][37]. Such observations illustrate gravity as an organizing principle shaping spacetime geometry even where the source mass cannot be seen. In the metaphysical framework, this aligns with the idea of an **unseen gravitational template** (Gravity as universal intelligence) guiding the arrangement of visible matter, much like an invisible web holding the cosmic structure together.

Whether dark matter is a new particle, a MACHO (dim star/object), or a modification of gravity is still unknown. But the Light–Vibration–Gravity model naturally accommodates the possibility that significant aspects of reality are *unseen yet causal*. It would predict that focusing only on the “visible vibrations” (ordinary matter-energy) misses the full picture; we must also account for the invisible organizing field. Interestingly, **quantum physics** has something similar: the quantum wavefunction is invisible and not directly observable, yet it guides particles (in pilot-wave theory) and produces real effects like interference. One might analogize dark matter to a **cosmic-scale pilot-wave** or standing wave – it doesn’t emit light (so it’s dark) but exerts gravitational influence. Some researchers have even speculated about “superfluid dark matter” or “quantum wave dark matter” where dark matter is a condensate described by a wavefunction that fills galaxies. If such models pan out, it would be a concrete physical instance of vibration + coherence creating an effect that looks like a new form of matter. On the other hand, if modified gravity is correct, it underscores that **gravity itself has layers we don’t fully grasp** – perhaps an indicator that an informational or consciousness-like factor (like the metaphysical Gravity) is at work.

- **Vacuum Energy and the Nature of the Vacuum** – The **cosmological constant problem**, sometimes called the “vacuum catastrophe,” is a huge gap between theoretical expectation and observed reality. Quantum field theory predicts that the **vacuum** (empty space) has an enormous energy density from zero-point fluctuations, on the order of 10^{120} times higher than what our actual universe’s cosmological constant (dark energy) is measured to be[43][44]. This is often cited as the worst theoretical prediction in physics[43]. In our metaphysical model, **Light as the unified field of infinite potential** corresponds to this idea of a vacuum teeming with virtual energy. The document explicitly equates its concept of Light with what physics calls the zero-point field[45][16]. It describes Light as “*a plenum of total potential – pure being that appears ‘empty’ yet contains all frequencies in unmanifest form*”, and notes that because it holds all vibrations at once, it appears still[16]. This is a beautiful description of the quantum vacuum: a seething high-frequency field that, when averaged out, looks like nothing. In fact, quantum fluctuations have been observed indirectly (e.g. the Casimir effect and Lamb shift), confirming that the vacuum isn’t truly empty. The metaphysical view would say **the vacuum is literally the Light – the infinite potential substrate of existence**. If so, why then is the observed effect (dark energy accelerating the universe) so small rather than huge?

One possible resolution offered by physicists and resonant with metaphysics is that the positive and negative contributions of zero-point energy *nearly cancel out*, leaving a tiny net residual (the small cosmological constant). The metaphysical text notes that at the level of Light, “**absolute stillness and infinite motion converge**”[46] – implying a perfect cancellation or balance of vast energies. Gravity as an organizing principle could be what breaks this perfect symmetry just enough to create a world of form. In other words, the act of cosmic creation (Vibration + Gravity acting on Light) might “pull out” a small portion of that infinite energy to manifest the universe we see. Interestingly, some physicists have turned to the **anthropic principle** or multiverse ideas: maybe in most universes vacuum energy is huge and those universes blew apart or never formed

galaxies, and we live in the rare one where vacuum energy is fine-tuned to life[47][48]. Metaphysically, one could say *consciousness (Light) selected or inherently formed a coherent bubble where the vacuum energy is just right* – essentially a purposeful fine-tuning by the universal mind (Gravity in the higher sense) to allow a stable cosmos. This is of course speculative, but it provides a philosophical answer to what is otherwise a frustrating “just so” in physics.

Another insight from the Light–Vibration model is the concept of **tapping zero-point energy**. If Light is an infinite reservoir and vibration/gravity can draw it out, perhaps the vacuum energy is not truly unusable. The document suggests technologies that create *coherence in the vacuum* – by simulating a gravity well or resonating with vacuum fluctuations – could “unlock vast power”[49][50]. Indeed, it calls zero-point energy “limitless” and potentially the basis for **free energy** if harnessed[49]. While mainstream science has no validated free-energy device, this idea is enticing and not entirely crazy: the vacuum does hold tremendous energy, and the challenge is finding a way to break the symmetry to extract it. Proposals like the stochastic electrodynamics approach or even ideas in Casimir-based energy extraction flirt with this possibility. The metaphysical take is unique in adding *conscious alignment*: “consciously manipulating vibration and gravitational alignment could let us turn the Light field into virtually free energy and matter”[51]. That sounds like science fiction, but it’s basically encouraging research into vacuum engineering – something the US Navy actually filed patents on (e.g., “quantum vacuum energy extraction”) in recent years. Even if we remain skeptical, the broader point is that by viewing the vacuum not as inert nothingness but as a living field of potential (exactly how quantum field theory sees it, minus the “living” part), we open our minds to new solutions. For instance, the **cosmological constant** being nonzero could be reinterpreted: maybe the tiny positive vacuum energy driving cosmic acceleration is a sort of *tension in the Light field*. Perhaps as the universe expands, the “infinite potential” of Light very gradually trickles into manifestation (as dark energy), pushing space apart. This might tie to spiritual ideas of ongoing creation, but we can also compare it to physical models like quintessence (a dynamic vacuum field). The document hints that “Light’s coherence remains intact despite redshift” and cosmic expansion, due to harmonic stabilization[52] – implying the vacuum (Light field) has self-correcting qualities that keep it uniform even as space grows. If true, that could be why vacuum energy is small: the universe’s expansion and gravity’s ordering influence nearly cancel the vacuum’s tendency to explode.

In short, the metaphysical framework does not hand us a ready solution to the hierarchy problem, dark matter, or vacuum energy. But it **reframes these problems in terms of balance between seen and unseen, vibration and coherence**. It predicts that solving these puzzles may require thinking outside the particle box: perhaps the solution to dark matter is not another particle but a new understanding of gravity or space (which is being actively explored in emergent gravity and modified gravity theories). Perhaps the vacuum energy problem won’t be solved by some particle symmetry that makes it zero, but by recognizing an underlying principle that sets it to the tiny value we see – maybe an information-theoretic or conscious equilibrium principle. Intriguingly, some thinkers like John Wheeler and Paul Davies have mused that **information and computation** might underlie physical constants and laws, which is not far from saying “the universal

mind chose these settings.” At the very least, the $M = L \times v$ worldview encourages physicists not to view these mysteries as isolated issues but as clues that our current ontology (what is fundamentally real) is incomplete. It suggests a universe where what is “missing” (like dark matter) or “mismatched” (like vacuum energy) may be accounted for by an **interconnected substrate of reality** that mainstream physics has only partially incorporated.

4. Wave–Particle Duality, Quantum Entanglement, and Nonlocality in a Unified Field

Quantum mechanics famously presents phenomena that defy our classical intuitions: light and electrons behave as both **waves and particles**, quantum objects can become **entangled** such that they exhibit correlations instantaneously across vast distances (nonlocality), and the act of **measurement** seems to play a special role in determining outcomes. The metaphysical Light–Vibration framework provides an ontological model that could lend clarity to these paradoxes by rooting them in unity and consciousness.

Wave–Particle Duality: In the conventional Copenhagen interpretation, quantum entities are described by a wavefunction that encodes probabilities, and when measured they appear as localized particles. The duality is puzzling until one accepts Bohr’s complementarity principle – they just are both, depending on context. The $M = L \times v$ view adds an intuitive twist: everything is fundamentally a **wave (vibration) in the unified Light field**, but **gravity (order, coherence)** gives these waves a stable form that we perceive as particles. In other words, the **wave aspect** is the natural state of a thing as a fluctuation in an infinite field, while the **particle aspect** emerges when that fluctuation is organized or constrained into a persistent bundle. This is quite analogous to Louis de Broglie’s original pilot-wave idea where a particle is like a localized “pilot” riding a guiding wave. In pilot-wave theory (de Broglie–Bohm mechanics), an electron is envisaged as a particle with a definite position *and* a real pilot wave that permeates space. The wave guides the particle through slits and interference patterns form because the wave goes through both slits even though the particle goes through one. That theory is **deterministic and nonlocal** – the wavefunction is a real field connecting particles, and the particle’s behavior depends on the whole setup[53][54]. This fits perfectly with a metaphysical view that *all particles are connected through the unified field (Light) and only appear separate when we observe the localized aspects*. The document mentions “*light’s wave-particle duality forms the cornerstone of quantum mechanics*” and suggests **harmonic resonance** as the key to understanding it[55][56]. It implies that when we see quantum objects as having harmonic (vibratory) nature, duality is just an expression of that resonance at different scales or contexts. For example, a photon is a vibration that can spread out (wave) but also exchange energy in quanta (particle); in the UFT1 context (the document’s theory), entangled photons “maintain coherence through harmonic alignment”[56], hinting that their wave nature (alignment on a common resonance) is what preserves correlations across space.

From an **ontological standpoint**, the metaphysical model would say the **particle is a localized perspective of an underlying wave reality**. And intriguingly, it would associate the act of localization (measurement) with Gravity in the consciousness sense

– the focus or attention that collapses possibilities into one coherent outcome[57][58]. The document draws parallels between how **attention** in the mind can “bring clarity and stability” to thought-waves (vibrations in consciousness) and how gravity brings coherence to energy waves[59]. There’s a long-standing interpretation of quantum mechanics (the Wigner interpretation) that consciousness is required to collapse the wavefunction. While mainstream science doesn’t endorse that, it remains an open question what *does* cause collapse or whether collapse is even a real phenomenon (many-worlds says no collapse). The metaphysical view would likely lean into a variant of Wigner’s idea: the universal Light field (cosmic consciousness) and its localized points of view (observers) *choose* how the vibrational potential manifests. Thus wave-particle duality is not paradoxical but expected: you can experience the phenomenon as a spread-out wave (if no organizing choice is made) or as a particle (if an organizing act occurs). In Bohmian terms, the “particle” could be seen as the result of a deeper piloting by the wave – perhaps analogous to a conscious choice or at least an informational holism guiding it.

Quantum Entanglement and Nonlocality: Entanglement means two (or more) particles share a single quantum state such that measuring one instantly affects the state of the other, no matter the distance. Einstein derisively called this “spukhafte Fernwirkung” (spooky action at a distance). But experiments (starting from Bell’s tests in the 1960s up to modern loophole-free tests) have confirmed that quantum correlations violate local realism. There is no signal or force traveling between entangled particles, yet they behave as a single system. How to make sense of this? In physics, one way is the **holistic interpretation**: entangled particles are not really separate; they are two parts of one greater whole (one wavefunction). This is exactly the kind of scenario the metaphysical unified field predicts: if all are expressions of one Light field, then any two objects have no absolute separation at the deepest level. They can therefore be coordinated in ways we can’t classically explain. Bohm’s implicate order was explicitly designed to explain entanglement – he suggested that in the implicate order (the deeper reality), “*space and time are no longer the dominant factors*” and connections can exist between distant things because fundamentally they are enfolded together[23]. He described an example: if you have a fish on a TV screen seen by two cameras at different angles, you’d see two images that seem separate but actually are the same fish – an analogy for entangled particles being one system seen in two ways. In Bohm’s schema, **quantum nonlocal correlations don’t involve signal transmission but reveal a preexisting unity**[60][61]. The metaphysical framework echoes this by positing a universal consciousness or field underpinning all – thus entanglement is just the **Light field “knowing itself” in coordinated ways across space**, and Gravity in its informational sense ensures coherence of that knowledge.

One might ask: does this model offer any new *prediction* or is it just an interpretation? Philosophically, it suggests perhaps looking for situations where *coherence (gravity aspect) can be deliberately introduced to influence quantum outcomes*. For instance, could a focused mind bias quantum collapse? While that veers into the paranormal for many scientists, experiments on mind-matter interaction at quantum level have been attempted (with controversial results). The metaphysical model would at least provide a conceptual rationale for such experiments: if consciousness (Light) and quantum fields

are linked, a strong intentional vibration (consciousness in motion) might imprint on a quantum system. On the flip side, it also predicts something like the **ER=EPR conjecture** in physics: the idea that every entangled pair (EPR) is connected by a tiny Einstein-Rosen bridge (wormhole) in spacetime (ER)[62]. That conjecture by Maldacena and Susskind is a concrete manifestation in mainstream physics of “entanglement = spacetime connection.” If true, it means quantum nonlocality and gravitational geometry are directly two sides of the same coin. The Light–Vibration–Gravity model couldn’t agree more: Vibration (quantum entanglement perhaps) and Gravity (wormhole connection) emerging from unity. It’s fascinating that cutting-edge theoretical physics is moving toward statements that sound almost mystical: “*spacetime is generated by quantum entanglement.*” Yet that is precisely what a world of one substance (light/consciousness) would do – it would not create two separate realms (one for quanta, one for gravity) but one unified network where what you call “distance” is just an emergent property of partial relationships, and deep down everything remains interconnected.

Nonlocality in general becomes less shocking if we imagine reality as a kind of **hologram or fractal** (imagery the document sometimes invokes). In a hologram, any piece of the hologram contains the whole image in encoded form; likewise, if the universe is holographically encoded in a field, then a local action might have global echoes. Nonlocal correlations could be those echoes. This doesn’t allow us to send classical signals faster than light (and indeed entanglement can’t transmit information superluminally), but it underlines that information itself might be delocalized. The metaphysical claim that “*the core of mind is a ray of the infinite Light, the unified field experiencing itself subjectively*”[63][64] suggests each conscious being is in touch with that unified field directly. If so, in principle, consciousness could obtain or be affected by nonlocal information. Some interpretations of quantum mechanics like **QBism (quantum Bayesianism)** treat the wavefunction as representing an observer’s knowledge, almost a psychic variables approach (though QBism doesn’t claim any spooky mind powers, it simply personalizes the probabilities). The Light–Vibration idea goes further to almost a form of **panpsychism**: every quantum event might have a thread of consciousness (Light) guiding it, maintaining global consistency (Gravity’s coherence). This is speculative, but it does provide a narrative where wave-particle duality, entanglement, and even the collapse of the wavefunction are not arbitrary mysteries but reflections of an underlying **holistic, conscious process** that appears weird only because we’re looking at it from within space and time rather than from the outside-in.

In practical terms, one could compare this to **pilot-wave theory** again: there, the wavefunction is a real field that instantly affects particles anywhere (nonlocal), but it carries “no energy, only information.” Gravity in our metaphysical sense could be compared to that: an information field connecting everything, not carrying energy like a force, thus not limited by light-speed (since only signals carrying energy are). Hence, entanglement doesn’t violate relativity’s ban on energy signals $> c$, but it shows an informational linkage. If gravity (in the deep sense) is an informational linkage too, maybe that’s why attempts to quantize gravity as a force particle (graviton) have been so troublesome – maybe gravity at core isn’t a particle exchange but a feature of the

unity of the system. Loop quantum gravity tries to quantize geometry itself, which might be the right approach if geometry (relationships) is fundamental and continuous fields are emergent.

To sum up, the metaphysical model provides a **unified ontological backdrop** for quantum phenomena: wave-particle duality is the natural consequence of having one foot in the infinite (wave in Light) and one foot in the finite (particle via Gravity's patterning). Entanglement is a glaring demonstration that separation is an illusion – the "Light" in particle A is the same Light in particle B. Nonlocality is therefore not "action at a distance" but "no distance at the deepest level" (to quote Wheeler, "There is no space, there is no time, there is just an illusion of separation"). Such perspectives don't change the quantitative predictions of quantum theory but they can inspire new directions: for example, exploring connections between quantum information and spacetime (as many are doing), or investigating higher-dimensional geometric interpretations of quantum states (like the amplituhedron program, which finds simpler shapes encoding particle interactions, potentially hinting at a pre-spacetime geometry). The ultimate win would be if this worldview suggested a *testable* new effect. One possibility might be in quantum biology or the role of consciousness: if mind is indeed a quantum gravitational phenomenon (as Penrose and Hameroff have posited with orchestrated objective reduction), then certain biological or cognitive processes might measurably involve nonlocal quantum coherence in ways standard biochemistry doesn't expect. Research in quantum cognition and in experiments on whether entanglement plays a role in neural processes is very nascent. The metaphysical blueprint would encourage this line, since it sees **life and mind as deeply linked to light and gravity** (recall the Sedlak quote they cited: "life is light packed into an organism and consciousness is light liberated from the laws of gravity"[65][66]). Perhaps organisms exploit the Light field (zero-point energy) or gravitational information networks in subtle ways – a bold hypothesis, but not completely outlandish as fields like biofield science or quantum biology are emerging[67][68].

5. The Vacuum and Zero-Point Energy as Infinite Potential (Light)

In physics, the **vacuum** is far from empty – it is the arena where virtual particles constantly flicker in and out of existence and all fields have a ground state energy. This **zero-point energy** is sometimes visualized as an ocean of energy filling space. The metaphysical framework directly identifies its concept of **Light** with this idea: "*Light, in this metaphysical context, is not merely electromagnetic radiation but the symbol of the unified field of consciousness and energy underpinning everything... analogous to what physics calls the zero-point field.*"[1][69]. It even references Einstein and modern unified field theorists' suggestion of "*a single field of infinite energy and intelligence from which all forces and matter emerge.*"[69]. Thus, the vacuum is essentially **Spirit** or the **universal subconscious** in their terms – an infinite plenum that appears empty because its changes cancel out and it contains all possibilities at once[16].

This philosophical stance can illuminate discussions about the vacuum in physics: for one, it suggests that **the vacuum's energy could be harnessed or modulated** if one can break the symmetric "all-at-once" state into a directed state. Indeed, the document alludes to experiments and emerging research aiming to tap zero-point energy, hinting

that even a slight induced coherence in vacuum fluctuations could release large energy[49][50]. It cites visionaries claiming “zero-point field energy is limitless... harnessing it would mean incredible advancement... possibly unifying science and spirituality”[49]. The unification of science and spirituality here likely refers to the idea that mastering zero-point energy (limitless free energy) would validate the notion of an underlying spiritual field (because we’d be actively interacting with it). While mainstream science treats such claims cautiously, it’s true that if someone demonstrated a device that outputs energy from the vacuum, it would revolutionize physics. The metaphysical model would not be surprised – it basically says *the vacuum is the source of all manifested energy anyway*, so why not directly pull from it? In fact, physicist Hal Puthoff in the 1980s proposed a model of inertia as interaction with the zero-point field; others like Bernard Haisch suggested gravity might be an induced effect of vacuum fluctuations. These alternative ideas see standard forces as emergent from vacuum interactions, aligning with the Light field as primary.

Vacuum and Consciousness: Another provocative angle is the idea that vacuum fluctuations might carry information (some have posited the vacuum field could store memory or is affected by consciousness). The document personifies gravity as “*the universal mind*” and Light as “*pure consciousness*”. If one takes that literally, the vacuum (Light field) might have cognitive qualities – or at least, a vast capacity for computation/information storage. This is a highly speculative interpretation, but it resonates with the concept of a holographic universe where the information about everything is distributed across the vacuum (like how Hawking-Bekenstein said black hole information is on the horizon). If the vacuum holds information, perhaps phenomena like quantum entanglement or the spooky effects we discussed are mediated by the vacuum’s information network. The metaphysical framework would say that what we call “laws of nature” are essentially the **habits or regularities of the universal consciousness**, recorded in the field. Rupert Sheldrake’s idea of morphic resonance (where past forms influence present forms via a field) is a kind of semi-metaphysical hypothesis along these lines. To avoid going too far afield, suffice it to say that seeing the vacuum as *infinite potential* encourages us to think of space not as empty backdrop but as an active participant in physical phenomena – a view increasingly supported by physics (e.g., vacuum energy drives cosmic acceleration, vacuum fluctuations underpin forces via Feynman diagrams, etc.). The difference is mainstream physics typically subtracts away the infinite baseline (via renormalization) and treats only deviations as physical, whereas the metaphysical view might encourage considering the baseline as well – perhaps leading to novel theories.

For example, **Pilot-wave theory in field form (quantum field theory)** implies a sort of “quantum potential field” present even in vacuum that guides particle creation/annihilation. Some fringe physical theories like **Superfluid Vacuum Theory** model space as a kind of fluid with properties akin to a Bose-Einstein condensate. If space is a superfluid, then particles are excitations (vibrations) on it – a very apt physical metaphor for Light and Vibration. That theory even tries to derive gravity and quantum effects from properties of the superfluid vacuum (in essence unifying them). These are in early stages, but it shows how thinking of the vacuum as a *substance* or

field leads to unification attempts. The Light–Vibration metaphysics strongly advocates this: nothing is truly separate or solid; it's all ripples in one medium.

Zero-Point Energy and the Standard Model: There's also the puzzle of why the vacuum energy doesn't gravitate the way naive calculations say (the 10^{120} issue). Some approaches consider that maybe vacuum fluctuations at high frequency are not coupled to gravity – perhaps only fluctuations up to a certain wavelength gravitate (this is speculative physics reasoning for why the cosmological constant is small). One could say maybe **Gravity (universal order)** only “engages” with certain patterns of vibration (those that produce coherent structure) and ignores the rest. If so, that could mean a lot of vacuum activity is essentially *off the books* gravitationally. That's one possible metaphysical resolution: the infinite chaotic potential remains inert until shaped by intention or structure. It parallels how in the mind, we might have infinite thoughts possible, but only when we focus (gravity of attention) does a thought crystalize and have causal effect. By analogy, the vacuum's random fluctuations might largely cancel out and do nothing (no focus), and only when some symmetry is broken (by fields condensing, or by boundary conditions like a Casimir cavity, or by measurement) do we see an effect. This viewpoint invites experimentalists to seek scenarios where vacuum fluctuations become correlated or aligned (like the document's suggestion of creating resonance with vacuum frequencies[50]). If such resonance amplifies vacuum effects, it could manifest new physics.

On the frontier of science, we see tiny steps in this direction: the Dynamical Casimir Effect has shown that accelerating mirrors can convert vacuum fluctuations into real particles (photons). So motion (vibration) and boundary conditions (structure) can extract energy from vacuum – that's laboratory confirmed, albeit in small amounts. It's essentially $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{v}$ in action: a moving mirror (vibration) interacting with the vacuum field (Light) produces particles (matter photons). Usually it's transient and subtle, but one can imagine pushing it further. Similarly, in inflationary cosmology, the rapid expansion of space (kind of like a vibration of spacetime itself) turns vacuum fluctuations into matter and radiation – that's how our universe's structure originated in theory. Again, motion + field = manifested particles. It's fascinating that what's speculative metaphysics at a human intention level (wishing we could will energy from vacuum) actually happens on cosmic scales and in certain quantum setups without violating physics.

In concluding this section, the vacuum viewed through this metaphysical lens is **rich and alive**. It's the ground of being (to use philosophical terms), the canvas on which reality is painted. By identifying it with “Light = infinite potential,” we give it a dual status: it is physically real (an energy field) and metaphysically meaningful (conscious potential). This encourages a unity of scientific and spiritual inquiry. On the one hand, scientists will continue to measure zero-point energy, tweak vacuum states in quantum experiments, and incorporate dark energy into cosmology. On the other, one might consider meditative or mind-based approaches to interact with the substrate of reality (a very esoteric idea, but many mystical traditions effectively claim to do this – e.g., yogis influencing their body's energy or even external events via consciousness). The framework doesn't dismiss such possibilities outright; instead it respectfully suggests a **continuum from inner space to outer space**. Vacuum and consciousness could be

two descriptions of the same underlying “Light.” If that were true, unsolved problems like those above might ultimately be resolved by a paradigm shift that includes the observer and the holistic field as fundamental ingredients, rather than trying to reduce everything to point particles bouncing in a void.

Conclusion: Toward a Coherent Science of Light, Vibration, and Gravity

The metaphysical blueprint of Light, Vibration, and Gravity offers a grand vision: **a universe where matter, mind, energy, and spacetime are all integrated aspects of one unfolding reality.** By reframing mass as “slowed-down light” and gravity as the cosmic memory or ordering of vibrations, it provides a narrative that is surprisingly consonant with many leading-edge theories in physics that stress unification and emergence. We saw that string theory’s vibrating strings, loop quantum gravity’s quantized spacetime, Bohm’s implicate order (holistic quantum reality), and emergent gravity from entanglement all echo pieces of this metaphysical puzzle. The power of this framework lies in its **coherence across domains**: it doesn’t treat consciousness, for example, as an unrelated epiphenomenon but as an integral part of the cosmic fabric. This holistic approach yields philosophical clarity – reminding us that wave-particle duality and nonlocality hint at an underlying unity – and it suggests new avenues for inquiry, like information-based gravity or vacuum engineering, which could be testable.

Of course, the **scientific rigor** demands that any such framework produce concrete, falsifiable results. While the metaphysical model in itself is qualitative, it inspires certain hypotheses: e.g., that gravity may have a quantum informational description (being pursued via holography), that dark matter might be an emergent gravitational phenomenon (Verlinde’s theory is one attempt), or that quantum coherence could play a role in domains we haven’t expected (perhaps in biology or in high-energy coherence extraction). These are directions already being explored at the frontiers of science. The metaphysical perspective encourages them and ties them together under a common conceptual roof. It is a reminder that our current separation of physics domains – quantum vs gravitational, material vs mental – could be temporary partitions in our understanding. As we gather more evidence (from LHC probes into new physics, from precision cosmology, from quantum computing experiments, etc.), we may find hints of the deeper unity.

Even if one is a strict empiricist, treating “Light as consciousness” as a mere metaphor, the **utility of the metaphor** shouldn’t be underestimated. It can lead to novel questions: What if spacetime geometry has degrees of freedom corresponding to information or “memory” (like holographic pixels)? What if the collapse of the wavefunction is related to some gravitational threshold (as Penrose conjectures)? What if the vacuum can be biased to produce asymmetric effects (as some ideas of inflation or dark energy interactions allow)? All these are scientifically approachable. The metaphysical blueprint basically says **look for coherence** – where there is vibration (change), look for gravity (order) subtly at work, and where there is structure, look for the underlying field that makes it all one. This can spark interdisciplinary research, for instance between physics

and neuroscience (are brain microtubules leveraging quantum coherence? If so, is gravity involved?).

Finally, this framework has a **humanistic and philosophical impact**. It bridges the gap between our inner experience and the outer world by positing they are reflections of one reality. In doing so, it could inspire a more integral approach to knowledge. We may need both the **scientist's analysis** and the **sage's insight** to unravel the ultimate nature of the universe. As the document concludes, *visionary yet practical*, this kind of unified metaphysics can guide not just theoretical physics but how we organize our thinking and our societies – encouraging an outlook that is coherent, compassionate, and cognizant of deeper laws[70][71]. While we must be careful to separate wishful thinking from true theory, many great advances began as almost mystical inklings (think of Einstein's "God does not play dice" leading him to EPR paradox, or Newton's alchemical interests complementing his physics).

In summary, viewing unsolved physical problems through the lens of **M = Lxv** – matter as Light and Vibration – does not magically solve them, but it provides a **unifying narrative** that might guide research toward elegant solutions. It reframes our reality as a harmonious interplay of an infinite field and finite patterns, potentially leading to a new paradigm where quantum gravity is intuitive, cosmic unity is expected, and phenomena like entanglement are expressions of wholeness rather than weird exceptions. The true test will be if this approach can yield quantitative models and experimental evidence. Until then, it at least serves as a **philosophical compass**, pointing scientists toward the possibility that on the other side of our current knowledge horizon, physics and metaphysics meet in a single, luminous understanding of reality.

Sources:

1. Phil Seawolf, "*Proof: Light as the Cornerstone of Unified Fields Theory (UFT1)*", Section 5 – string theory and harmonic oscillations[72][73].
2. *Light, Vibration, Gravity: A Blueprint for Unified Metaphysical Coherence*, Excerpts on introduction of Light as unified field, Vibration as primordial motion, and Gravity as coherence[1][2][8][6].
3. *Light, Vibration, Gravity* treatise – Matter and energy as vibrating patterns shaped by gravitational intelligence[10][11][15]; "matter is light slowed down by pattern"[9]; gravity gives form to light-energy[17].
4. *Light, Vibration, Gravity* – Discussion of gravity as unseen template, two faces of gravity and consciousness[38][8]; DNA bending light experiment hinting life affecting gravity[40][41].
5. Erik Verlinde, *Emergent Gravity and the Dark Universe* – "spacetime and gravity emerge from entanglement structure" (abstract)[25]; extra "dark gravity" force from entropy explains galaxy dynamics[39].
6. Wikipedia – **Hierarchy problem**: gravity is $\sim 10^{24}$ times weaker than weak force[31].
7. NASA Science – **Dark Matter**: detected via gravitational lensing, unseen mass holding galaxies (Abell 209 cluster example)[36][37].

8. Wikipedia – **Cosmological constant problem**: observed vacuum energy density is $\sim 10^{-120}$ in Planck units (discrepancy dubbed worst prediction in physics)[43].
 9. David Bohm's *Implicate Order* – quantum wholeness: “*in the enfolded order, space and time are no longer dominant; separate particles are abstractions from a deeper order*”[23][24]; explicate (unfolded) order of entangled objects emerges from implicate connectivity[60][74].
 10. Wikipedia – **De Broglie–Bohm pilot wave theory**: a deterministic, nonlocal interpretation where particles have positions guided by a real wavefunction; the velocity of one particle depends on configuration of all others (hence instantaneous connections)[53].
-

[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [26] [27] [28] [38] [40] [41] [42] [45] [46] [49] [50] [51] [57] [58] [59] [63] [64] [65] [66] [69] [70] [71] PDF__Light, Vibration, Gravity_ A Blueprint for Unified Metaphysical Coherence__.docx - Google Docs.pdf

file:///file_00000000de3071f4acb173df0bc0969e

[20] [21] [29] [30] Metaphysics of Quantum Gravity | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy

<https://iep.utm.edu/m-quantum-gravity/>

[22] LAHS Student Op Ed: On Extra Dimensions And The Highly Controversial String Theory – Los Alamos Reporter

<https://losalamosreporter.com/2024/05/26/lahs-student-op-ed-on-extra-dimensions-and-the-highly-controversial-string-theory/>

[23] [24] [60] [61] [74] Implicate and explicate order - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Implicate_and_explicate_order

[25] [39] [1611.02269] Emergent Gravity and the Dark Universe

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.02269>

[31] [32] [33] Hierarchy problem - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hierarchy_problem

[34] [35] [36] [37] Dark Matter - NASA Science

<https://science.nasa.gov/dark-matter/>

[43] [44] [47] [48] Cosmological constant - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cosmological_constant

[52] [55] [56] [67] [72] [73] LIGHT Coherence in UFT1 "Unified Fields theory 1" — PHIL SEAWOLF

<https://www.philseawolf.com/light>

[53] [54] De Broglie–Bohm theory - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/De_Broglie%E2%80%93Bohm_theory

[62] ER = EPR - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ER_%3D_EPR

[68] The Unified Coherence Hypothesis Throughout this journey, we ...

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/1790761467631151/posts/32956963337250890/>