



Re: Fund my euthanasia? Wouldn't have to worry about me no more

1 message

Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> Sun, 28 Jun 2026 at 23:59

To: enquiries@pco.gov.uk, registry@jcpc.uk, buckingham.palace@royal.uk, kensington.palace@royal.uk, council.tax@towerhamlets.gov.uk, localplan@towerhamlets.gov.uk, communications@towerhamlets.gov.uk, pdcreception@towerhamlets.gov.uk, mayor@towerhamlets.gov.uk, mayors.office@southwark.gov.uk, mayor@brent.gov.uk, secretariat@cityoflondon.gov.uk, penny.shelley@cityoflondon.gov.uk, lordmayorsoffice@westminster.gov.uk, mayors.office@kingston.gov.uk, jim.babbington@rbkc.gov.uk, barry.quirk@rbkc.gov.uk, mayor@rbkc.gov.uk, mayor@royalgreenwich.gov.uk, julie.wilkes@lbbd.gov.uk, mayor@barnet.gov.uk, mayors.office@bexley.gov.uk, mayors.office@bromley.gov.uk, mayor@camden.gov.uk, the.mayor@croydon.gov.uk, mayor <mayor@ealing.gov.uk>, Mayor@enfield.gov.uk, Koulla.panaretou@enfield.gov.uk, Speaker@hackney.gov.uk, mayor@lbhf.gov.uk, Keith.simpson@lbhf.gov.uk, mayors.office@haringey.gov.uk, sandra.lynch@haringey.gov.uk, mayor@harrow.gov.uk, rita.gresty@harrow.gov.uk, mayor@havering.gov.uk, mayor@hillingdon.gov.uk, mayor@hounslow.gov.uk, the.mayor@islington.gov.uk, sue.goss@islington.gov.uk, mplummer@lambeth.gov.uk, derek.johnson@lewisham.gov.uk, mayor@merton.gov.uk, mayor@newham.gov.uk, mayoroffice@redbridge.gov.uk, mayors.office@richmond.gov.uk, mayor.sec@sutton.gov.uk, speaker@towerhamlets.gov.uk, mayor@walthamforest.gov.uk, ssiddiqui@wandsworth.gov.uk, pbattista@wandsworth.gov.uk, gholland44@sky.com, clare@whelan.net, seniorclerks@7kbw.co.uk, bridget.phillipson.mp@parliament.uk, peter.kyle.mp@parliament.uk, coopery@parliament.uk, suella.braverman.mp@parliament.uk, rachel.reeves.mp@parliament.uk, jcnss@parliament.uk, pressoffice@ncsc.gov.uk, newsdesk@fcdo.gov.uk, pressoffice@gchq.gov.uk, mayor@london.gov.uk, enquiries@bankofengland.co.uk, press@bankofengland.co.uk, haveyoursay@bbc.co.uk, enquiry@towerhamletsconnect.org, Ghag, Chamkaur <c.ghag@ucl.ac.uk>, s.tabrizi@ucl.ac.uk, s.zochowski@ucl.ac.uk, a.johnston@ucl.ac.uk, s.acton@ucl.ac.uk, l.wedderburn@ucl.ac.uk, t.erfani@ucl.ac.uk, alex.goodall@ucl.ac.uk, digitalhumanities@kcl.ac.uk, cmci@kcl.ac.uk, music@kcl.ac.uk, history@kcl.ac.uk, philosophy@kcl.ac.uk, trs@kcl.ac.uk, executivedegrees@kcl.ac.uk, ug-law@kcl.ac.uk, law-IPPP@kcl.ac.uk, lawresearch@kcl.ac.uk, pgt-law@kcl.ac.uk, ccldr@kcl.ac.uk, enquiries@ling-phil.ox.ac.uk, enquiries@philosophy.ox.ac.uk, general.administrator@theology.ox.ac.uk, contact@physics.ox.ac.uk, schools.liaison@physics.ox.ac.uk, enquiries@maths.ox.ac.uk, enquiries@politics.ox.ac.uk, lawfac@law.ox.ac.uk, enquiries@law.cam.ac.uk, facultyoffice@econ.cam.ac.uk, gen.enq@hist.cam.ac.uk, reception@hps.cam.ac.uk, enquiries@polis.cam.ac.uk, webmaster@phil.cam.ac.uk, admin@mus.cam.ac.uk, enquiries@socanth.cam.ac.uk, rusip@rusi.org, bioethics@nuffieldbioethics.org, enquiries@instituteforgovernment.org.uk, digitalcommunications@ucl.ac.uk, press@nuffieldbioethics.org, erm71@who.eop.gov, commercial@stevenbartlett.com, Fund@stevenbartlett.com, katherine.blackwell@lincolnhousechambers.com, baltman@2bedfordrow.co.uk, clerks@lincolnhousechambers.com, clerks@2br.co.uk, r.hopkins@ucl.ac.uk, sabrina.skeete@ucl.ac.uk, a.harriot@ucl.ac.uk, fcdo.correspondence@fcdo.gov.uk, GRA Privacy <privacy@gra.gi>, Lottoland Customer Support <support@lottoland.co.uk>, gccomplaints@gibraltar.gov.gi, dsar <dsar@lottoland.com>, academic-office@ling-phil.ox.ac.uk, Lottoland Safer Gambling Team <safergamblingteam@lottoland.com>

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Supersession](#)
[Website](#)

On Sat, 27 Jun 2026, 21:58 Susan Ndinga, <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

- <https://shshumanfirst.org/2026/06/25/tales-of-thechairs-fumankinde/>
- <https://shshumanfirst.org/2026/06/24/i-am-not-afraid-to-think-big-of-myself/>

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Supersession](#)

On Wed, 18 Feb 2026, 13:22 Susan Ndinga, <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)
[Website](#)

On Sun, 15 Feb 2026 at 21:32, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)
[Website](#)


On Sat, 7 Feb 2026 at 21:09, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)
[Website](#)

On Sat, 31 Jan 2026 at 13:52, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Your gurus



Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth

On Thu, 29 Jan 2026 at 22:01, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:
Supersession Pathway if Judicial Timelines Continue to Delay

Dear Sir / Madam,

This email is sent as **formal notice**, not as escalation.

You have previously been made aware — directly or indirectly — of an active body of work, filings, correspondence, and public record concerning systemic accountability, institutional responsibility, and the widening gap between legal process and lived human cost.

That notice stands.

What is being communicated now is simply the **next lawful pathway**, should existing mechanisms continue to prioritise procedural preservation over human urgency.

Context (Brief and On Record)

Courts are taking time.

Systems are being defended.

Meanwhile, people continue to pay with their lives, health, futures, and dignity.

There is no comparable urgency applied to those affected as there is to maintaining institutional continuity.

That imbalance has already been documented.

Lawful Alternative Pathway

In the United Kingdom, **any individual may lawfully establish a political party**.

That option exists precisely for moments when:

- judicial timelines lag behind social harm,
- institutional self-protection overrides corrective action,
- and structural reform becomes a matter of public mandate rather than internal adjustment.

The foundations for such a party already exist:

- documented systemic failures,
- articulated models for a more coherent, humane, and harmonised system,
- clear emphasis on **rightful education**, not ideological control,
- and extensive public record establishing why existing political structures no longer function as claimed.

This is not a threat.

It is not leverage.

It is not defiance.

It is **procedural continuity**.

Your Position

You are not being asked to respond publicly.

You are not being asked to jeopardise professional roles.

You are not being asked to “take sides.”

You are simply being offered **choice** — which has already been preserved in the record:

- to act, even anonymously, in alignment with your future self,

- to contribute privately, without attribution,
- or to continue as you have, in silence.

All three are lawful.
All three are noted.

Record Integrity

This communication exists because it is my responsibility to **offer the option**, even when no response is given.

Silence will not be interpreted emotionally.
It will be interpreted structurally – as position, not absence.

Closing

If judicial processes resolve the matter adequately, no further pathway is required.

If they do not, governance adapts – as it always has throughout history.

This message ensures that no one can later claim:

“I did not know,”

“I was not informed,”

or “There was no alternative presented.”

There was.

Respectfully,
Susan Ndinga Wright

(on record)

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)
[Website](#)

On Thu, 29 Jan 2026 at 19:40, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)
[Website](#)

On Fri, 23 Jan 2026 at 21:50, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

6. Failure to Prevent (Positive Obligation)

Threshold:

The defendant failed to take reasonable steps to prevent harm.

This is crucial.

Courts increasingly impose **positive duties**, meaning:

- You can be liable for **inaction**
- **Silence counts**
- Delay counts

- Procedural avoidance counts

Used in:

- Human rights law
- Suicide prevention
- Domestic violence cases
- Child protection

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)
[Website](#)

On Mon, 19 Jan 2026 at 12:36, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

LUNCH BREAKS available, you rats racing. Use them wisely!
Not doing well, should I assume you chose the filing?

Your wish is my command, but I'll stay wait for my own birth-hour 14:08

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)

On Mon, 19 Jan 2026 at 12:19, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

My KPIs:

- 300 views on the last 10 posts, read time counts

or

- The UK authorities paying for the blog post they abused of that had a fee

Put pressure on them if you don't have the time, but nothing else will get you out of this one, I got bills to pay as your system is still running wild. Until I remove bills through the plaintiff, you will be the ones paying for it, as you choose to invest in it daily, hindering the whole.

Cry me a river

Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)

On Mon, 19 Jan 2026 at 11:57, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Y'all are all getting added individually to the E-Filing, so expect the court to reach out if they do their job right, otherwise that's a Part 8 successful win as proof of malpractice. Happy days.
What comes after that will be history made.

Appointment at 4:44pm, unless the last 10 posts get your view by 14:08. I've let you know what happens next and what I use as a trigger to proceed to what I said I was gon' do. Not a threat, just a sequence of events and appointments I make with myself. Take it as you want, deny it. The same Class Action I said I was gon' do prophesied back in 29th May 2025 has already come true. You decide your fates and how things go from educated placements. Don't shoot the messenger when you're not doing anything else other than messaging each other.

Start from the last one, here's its beginning, you'll know you've found it when you see these words on shshumanfirst.wordpress.com:

Every one of my wins is Consciousness' Win. Until Humanity recognises and elevates the mission, it'll forever be its loser.

So all the emails I have on this blog and more are going up on the E-Filing Service as the Class Action I promised them, OpenAI included, yet we'll use it until it runs its course. Filthy beings these ones. If hitting their systems isn't enough, their individuality will do the job. In the end they care more about that than humanity itself.

It starts at 4:44pm

Happy Days indeed
Have a Divine day

Susan Ndinga-Wright
Metaphysical Expert
Founder of SHS - Human First & 4Honeth
[Open Plaintiff Case Link](#)

On Tue, 13 Jan 2026 at 18:40, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Have a grateful day

- **Susan Ndinga**

On Tue, 13 Jan 2026 at 04:44, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Sir or Madam,

I write to place you on formal notice of a forthcoming civil claim currently being prepared for filing in the High Court of England and Wales under the Civil Procedure Rules.

This letter is sent pursuant to the pre-action principles of the CPR and is intended to provide you with notice of the issues in dispute, an opportunity to respond, and a requirement to preserve relevant evidence.

You thought I was the character, yet the character was in me.

Summary of the Issue

The proposed claim concerns the exercise of institutional authority in circumstances where informed and voluntary consent is absent, compromised, or presumed. The central legal issue to be determined is:

“Under what conditions, if any, does authority lawfully override consent?”

The claim will allege that certain institutional practices, decisions, and omissions have resulted in ongoing harm through the inconsistent or unlawful exercise of authority, contrary to established principles of duty of care, procedural fairness, and informed consent.

Your Potential Involvement

You are being notified because your institution and/or its officers may possess information, documents, communications, or records relevant to the matters in issue, including but not limited to:

- Policies, guidance, or internal communications relating to consent, coercion, or authority
- Records evidencing awareness of harm, complaints, or systemic failures
- Decisions, actions, or omissions taken after notice of such issues arose

This letter does not constitute a final statement of claim, nor does it waive any rights. Further particulars will be provided through formal pleadings if proceedings are issued.

Preservation of Evidence

You are hereby required to take immediate steps to preserve all documents, data, communications, and records (including electronic material and metadata) that may be relevant to this matter. This obligation applies to material held by your institution, agents, contractors, and third parties under your control.

Destruction, alteration, or failure to preserve relevant evidence after receipt of this notice may be relied upon in court.

Response Requested

Please confirm, within **7 days** of the date of this letter:

1. That you have taken steps to preserve relevant evidence; and
2. Whether you wish to provide any response or clarification at this stage.

If no response is received, proceedings may be commenced without further notice.

This correspondence is sent without prejudice to my rights and remedies, all of which are expressly reserved.

Particulars of Claim will follow up, after the claim has been submitted and validated at the Royal Courts of Justice.

Given your inconsistency, I allow myself to send this to you during the night, as it will be filed during the day in person and if anything happens to me, someone else will.

Yours faithfully & try to have a grateful day

Susan Ndinga Wright

Metaphysical Barrister, Lawyer & Executioner

SHS - Universal Laws

13 January 2026

On Mon, 12 Jan 2026 at 06:02, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

Have a grateful day

- **Susan Ndinga**

On Mon, 12 Jan 2026 at 05:32, Susan Ndinga <susanndinga18@gmail.com> wrote:

To whom it may concern,

This communication is issued as formal notice. To those who have walked with me (witnesses & testimonials), I may or may not have shared it with more than you think or knew. Law firms, barristers, judges and lawyers...all kinds.

It is not a request, a provocation, nor a demand for response. It is a record. How you respond—or do not—will determine how you are entered into that record.

Please read carefully and decide which side of this you want to be in. Your life will depend on it.

FYI: *Unconsciousness does not excuse harm.*

Silence will be interpreted as **knowledge**.

Dismissal will be interpreted as **intent**.

Ridicule will be interpreted as **defense**.

Containment will be interpreted as **admission**.

You are, by your own actions or inactions, documenting yourselves.

Think carefully about what you do next, as it **will and can be used against you in a court of law.**

On the Nature of the Action

This concerns a class action grounded not in ideology, grievance, or opposition, but in **accountability**.

No individuals are being prosecuted.

Roles are.

What follows are the twelve plaintiffs. Each represents a defensive posture upon which the current system relies. They remain "on trial" because they are continuously enacted.

I did not collect proof the way cases usually do.

I observed:

- who went silent
- who mocked
- who dismissed
- who attempted to manage, contain, or reframe

Each reaction placed itself on the record.

No further action was required.

I understood that **systems always confess through behavior** when they believe no one is watching clearly enough to document it; the fuel to follow **Civil Procedure Rules** and **public law mechanisms**.

The Twelve Plaintiffs

1. Bystanding

Do you believe it is lawful to sit and watch people die?

Law criminalizes **individual omission**, yet legalizes **institutional omission**.

Same behavior. Different treatment.
This alone nullifies equality before the law.

2. Negligence

Judges demand a duty of care from citizens.

Who, then, holds a duty of care for the system itself?

Silence here is not neutrality.
Silence is admission.

3. Sanctimony

"Justice must be seen to be done."

Seen by whom?
Using whose consciousness?
Under whose understanding?

If justice requires performance, it is no longer justice.
It is theatre.

4. Double Standards

“No one is above the law.”

Then explain:

- Sovereign immunity
- Diplomatic immunity
- Institutional immunity

If immunity exists, equality is a fiction.

5. Moral Hypocrisy

“Everyone deserves a defense.”

This is not disputed.

The question is simpler and more fundamental:
Who deserves protection from harm?

When the defense of one enables the destruction of many, morality collapses into procedure.

6. Legal Certainty

If the law were clear:

- it would not require specialist priests
- it would not hide behind inaccessible jargon
- it would not exclude its own subjects

Opacity is not neutrality.
Opacity is control.

This alone violates the foundational requirements of law: clarity, publicity, stability, and predictability.

7. Accountability

Why has law not held itself accountable in light of advances in understanding consciousness across disciplines?

The law already relies on:

- intent
- capacity
- awareness

Refusing updated understanding is **willful negligence**, not tradition.

8. Independence

Judicial independence exists because the other branches are coercive.

If courts must be separate in order to be trusted, the system admits its own untrustworthiness.

This is not stability.
This is damage control.

9. Bias and Narrative

Courts claim decisions are based solely on facts and law.

Yet outcomes consistently track:

- resources
- narrative skill
- emotional leverage

This demonstrates that story overrides truth.

Courts do not resolve conflict.
They arbitrate persuasion.

10. Integrity

Judges are trained to recognize:

- systemic harm
- recurring patterns
- structural injustice

Choosing neutrality in the face of known harm is not integrity.
It is complicity.

11. Conflict Resolution

Courts claim to exist to resolve conflict.

Yet they address symptoms, not causes.

If root causes were resolved:

- case volume would drop
- institutional necessity would shrink

The system benefits structurally from unresolved harm.
This is a conflict of interest.

12. Natural Justice

If law is not natural, then answer plainly:

- What law governs law?
- Who judges the judge?
- Where does legitimacy originate?

If the answer is “the system itself,” then law is a self-authorizing fiction.

Final Synthesis

The rule violated across all twelve counts is simple and already recognized:

A system that cannot be judged by the standards it imposes has no legitimate authority.

This rule exists.
It is merely never applied upward.

This action does not attack the law.
It removes its asymmetry.

And once asymmetry is removed,
nothing remains but accountability.

This notice is entered into record.

What follows is yours to choose.
Have a grateful day

**- Susan Ndinga Wright,
Metaphysical Barrister, Lawyer & Executioner
+44 7594134546**

[Website Link](#)

Have a grateful day



file_00000000683071f4a53a553425378565.png

2.4 MB